Hazardous Links, Possible Solutions

Objectives
Students will (1) give examples of ways in which pesticides enter food chains, (2) describe possible consequences of pesticides entering food chains, and (3) describe how regulations attempt to control pesticide use.

Method
Students become hawks, shrews, and grasshoppers in a physical activity.

Materials
White and colored drinking straws; pipe cleaners; poker chips or multicolored, dry dog food—30 pieces per student, two-thirds white or plain and one-third colored; one bag per grasshopper (approximately 18–20)

Background
Pesticides are chemicals—often synthetic, inorganic compounds—developed to control organisms that have been identified as “pests” under some conditions. Herbicides are pesticides that control unwanted plants; insecticides are pesticides that control nuisance insects and so on. Although pesticides are useful to humans when used properly, they frequently end up going where they are not wanted. Many toxic chemicals have a way of persisting in the environment and often become concentrated in unexpected and undesirable places—from food and water supplies to wildlife and sometimes people, too. The process where chemicals accumulate in organisms in increasingly higher concentrations at successive trophic levels is called “biomagnification.” Biomagnification results in the storage of such chemicals in organisms in higher concentrations than are normally found in the environment. The results can be far-reaching.

For example, the insecticide dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) was applied to control insects that were damaging crops. In the early 1970s, it was discovered that DDT entered the food chain with damaging results. Fish ate insects that were sprayed by the chemical; hawks, eagles, and pelicans then ate the fish. The poison became concentrated in the birds systems, resulting in side effects such as thin egg shells. The weight of the adult bird would crush the egg in the nesting process. The impact on species, including the bald eagle and the brown pelican, has been well documented.
Laws in the United States have now prohibited the use of DDT. However, DDT use is not prohibited worldwide. Resident and migrating animal populations in the countries that still allow the use of DDT are at particular risk. Even after the application of DDT is stopped, DDT and its by-products can affect the environment for decades.

Concerns over the growing use of pesticides led to the establishment of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) in 1972. FIFRA gives federal government control over pesticide sale, use, and distribution. Under FIFRA, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) gained authority to study pesticide use consequences and also to require pesticide registration by farmers, businesses, and so on. FIFRA later was amended to require pesticide users to take certification exams. EPA must register pesticides used in the United States.

Congress also enacted the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) in 1976 to regulate, test, and screen all chemicals imported or produced in the United States. TSCA requires that any chemical in the market place must be tested for toxic effects before commercial manufacture. TSCA also tracks and reports chemicals that pose health and environmental hazards. Authorization for toxic material cleanup has been placed under TSCA. TSCA supplements the Clean Air Act and the Toxic Release Inventory. Like FIFRA, TSCA is a balancing law, which says that the EPA is to make decisions on any chemical by comparing the risks it poses against the benefits it produces for firms and consumers.

Public pressure continues to force changes in the application and availability of pesticides. For example, there now is growing interest in integrated pest management. This agricultural approach considers the entire farm and garden ecosystem. Integrated pest management can include using a pest’s predator as well as other biological controls to reduce crop damage.

Integrated pest management can include the selective use of naturally occurring and synthetic pesticides, as well as habitat manipulations. One concern with this approach is the possible introduction of non-native species.

The major purpose of this activity is for students to recognize the possible consequences of accumulation of some pesticides in the environment and to evaluate measures to control pesticide use.

**Procedure**

1. Discuss the term “food chain” with the students. (Food chain: a sequence or “chain” of living things in a community, that is based on one member of the community eating another, and so forth [e.g., grasshopper eats plants like corn, shrews eat grasshoppers, hawks eat shrews])

2. Divide the group into three teams. In a class of 26 students, there would be 2 “hawks,” 6 “shrews,” and 18 “grasshoppers.” (This activity works best with approximately three times as many shrews as hawks and three times as many grasshoppers as shrews.) OPTIONAL: Have grasshoppers, hawks, and shrews labeled so they can be identified easily. For example, a green cloth flag (tied around the arm) for grasshoppers, red bandannas for “red-tail hawks”, and a brown cloth flag (tied around the arm) or caps for shrews.

3. Distribute a small paper bag or other small container to each “grasshopper.” The container is to represent the “stomach” of the animal.

4. With the students’ eyes closed, or otherwise not watching where the food is placed, spread the white and colored straws (or whatever material used) around in a large open space. Outside on a playing field (if it is not windy) or on a gymnasium floor will work; a classroom will also work if chairs and tables or desks can be moved.

*continued*
5. Give the students the following instructions: the grasshoppers are the first to go looking for food; the hawks and shrews are to sit quietly on the sidelines watching the grasshoppers. After all, the hawks and shrews are predators and are watching their prey. At a given signal, the grasshoppers are allowed to enter the area to collect as many food tokens as they can, placing the food tokens in their stomachs (the bags or other container). The grasshoppers have to move quickly to gather food. At the end of 30 seconds, the grasshoppers are to stop collecting food tokens.

6. Next, allow the shrews to hunt the grasshoppers. The hawks are still on the sidelines quietly watching the activity. The amount of time available to the shrews to hunt grasshoppers should take into account the size area in which you are working. In a classroom, 15 seconds may be enough time; on a large playing field, 60 seconds may be better. Each shrew should have time to catch one or more grasshoppers. Any grasshopper tagged or caught by the shrew must give its bag or container of food to the shrew and then sit on the sidelines.

7. Next, allow from 15 to 60 seconds (or whatever set time) for the hawks to hunt the shrews. The same rules follow. Any shrews still alive may hunt for grasshoppers. If a hawk catches a shrew, the hawk gets the food bag and the shrew goes to the sidelines. At the end of the designated time period, ask all students to come together in a circle, bringing whatever food bags they have with them.

8. Ask students who have been “consumed” to identify what animal they are and what animal ate them. If they are wearing labels, this will be obvious. Next, ask any animals still alive to empty their food bags out onto the floor or on a piece of paper where they can count the number of food pieces they have. They should count the total number of white food pieces and total number of multicolored food pieces they have in their food sacks. List any grasshoppers and the total number of white and multicolored food pieces each has. List the number of shrews left and the number of white and multicolored pieces each has. Finally list the hawks and the number of white and multicolored food pieces each has.

9. Inform the students that there is something called a “pesticide” in the environment. This pesticide was sprayed onto the crop the grasshoppers were eating in order to prevent a lot of damage by the grasshoppers. If there were substantial crop damage by the grasshoppers, the farmers would have less of their crop to sell, and some people and domestic livestock might have less of that kind of food to eat—or it might cost more to buy the food because a smaller quantity was available. This pesticide accumulates in food chains and can stay in the environment a long time. In this activity, all multicolored food pieces represent the pesticide. All grasshoppers that were not eaten by shrews may now be considered dead if they have any multicolored food pieces in their food supply. Any shrews for which half or more of their food supply was multicolored pieces would also be considered dead from chemical side effects. The one hawk with the highest number of multicolored food pieces will not die. However, it has accumulated so much of the pesticide in its body that the egg shells produced by it and its mate during the next nesting season will be so thin that the eggs will not hatch successfully. The other hawks are not visibly affected at this time.

10. Talk with the students about what they just experienced in the activity. Ask for their observations about how the food chain seems to work and how toxic substances can enter the food chain with a variety of results. Introduce the term “biomagnification,” and discuss how it can result in the accumulation of chemicals in species higher in the food chain. The students may be able to give examples beyond those of the grasshopper—shrew—hawk food chain affected by the pesticide in this activity.
11. Divide the class into two, four, or more
groups. Ask one or two groups of students to
research other chemicals—such as tributyltin
(TBT), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), or
dieldrin—that have demonstrated the ability
to persist and accumulate through food
chains. What are the effects of such chemi-
cals on organisms? What limitations have
been set on the use of such substances? Have
the other groups research legislation such as
FIFRA and TSCA to determine how these
laws work to control toxic chemicals. Allow
all groups to present their findings in class,
and then have the students hypothesize the
effectiveness of the laws in controlling the
various chemicals that were researched.

Extensions

1. Consider and discuss possible reasons for use
of such chemicals. What are some of the ben-
efits? What are some of the consequences?

2. Offer and discuss possible alternatives to uses
of such chemicals in instances where it seems
the negative consequences outweigh the ben-
efits. For example, some farmers are success-
fully using organic techniques (e.g., sprays of
organic, nontoxic substances; crop rotation;
companion planting); biological controls (e.g.,
predatory insects); and genetic approaches
(e.g., releasing sterile male insects of the pest
species) in efforts to minimize damages to
their crops.

3. What research is being developed and
tested on the effects of pest control efforts—
from effects of possibly toxic chemicals to
nontoxic alternatives? What are the benefits?
Consequences? Potential?

4. Review news media for relevant local,
national, or international examples of
such issues.

Aquatic Extensions

1. See the Project WILD activity “What’s in
the Water?”

2. Have the students describe how pesticides
can enter an aquatic food chain. Also,
describe how pesticides can enter aquatic
environments and can end up in food chains
of terrestrial environments (e.g., mosquito
larvae, fish, birds). Show how pesticides
can enter the food chains in terrestrial
environments and can end up in aquatic
environments (e.g., grasshoppers, small fish,
large fish).

Evaluation

1. Identify examples of how pesticides could
enter a food chain.

2. Discuss two possible consequences of
pesticides entering the food chain for each
of the examples given above.

3. A group of ecologists studied the presence
of a toxic chemical in a lake. They found the
water had one molecule of the chemical for
every 1 billion molecules of water. This con-
centration is called one part per billion
(ppb). The algae had one part per million
(ppm) of the toxic chemical. Small animals,
called zooplankton, had 10 ppm. Small fish
had 100 ppm. Large fish had 1,000 ppm.
How do you explain this increase in this
toxic chemical to 1,000 ppm for the large
fish? Use a drawing to help support your
answer. The ecologists found the chemical
was a pesticide that had been sprayed on
cropland 100 miles away from the lake.
How did so much of the chemical get
into the lake?

4. Evaluate the effectiveness of at least one law
that regulates hazardous chemical usage.

Additional Resources

www.3dchem.com/molecules.asp?ID=90
www.pmac.net